

## TITLE OF THE INVENTION

## INFORMATION PROCESSING METHOD AND APPARATUS

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5           The present invention relates to an information processing apparatus and method and, more particularly, to a technique suitable for personal verification based on a handwritten pattern by a pattern matching process.

## 10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

          Personal verification using a handwritten signature forms a signature verification culture centering around the West. On the other hand, the digital information society requires building a similar  
15 verification system. To meet such requirements, for example, Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 10-171926, 10-40388, and 5-324805 have proposed signature verification systems. The signature verification systems described in these references will be described  
20 below.

          The technique described in the references will be described with reference to Fig. 14. A person signs on an input device 3021 such as a digitizer or the like using a digital pen 3022. As for the input signature,  
25 the position coordinates (xin, yin) and handwriting pressure pin of the digital pen are read at unit time intervals, they are converted into an electrical signal

as time-series data, and the electrical signal is sent to a data controller 3023. The data controller 3023 compares the input data with a standard pattern serving as an evaluation reference to check if the input signature is an original one.

As a method of detecting the difference between the standard pattern and input pattern, a fuzzy scheme (Japanese Patent Laid-Open No. 5-324805) and a dynamic programming method (Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 10-171926 and 10-40388) are used in the above proposals.

The dynamic programming method is described in T.Y. Yong & K-S. Fu, co-editors, "Handbook of Pattern Recognition and Image Processing", Academic Press, 1986.

Both these methods require pattern matching between time-series data of x- and y-coordinate values as discretized Cartesian coordinates obtained by the signature, and time-series data of standard x- and y-coordinate values, and weighting associated with the handwriting pressure or the velocity of time-series data.

On the other hand, a curve that allows a finite number of intersections, as shown in Fig. 15, is called a curve immersed in a two-dimensional plane in mathematics. Signature data can be recognized as a curve immersed in a two-dimensional plane.

Since signature characters run on or are simplified extremely, it is advisable to consider them as a symbol or geometric curve rather than characters. In practice, since personal authentication has been  
5 implemented so far based on such extremely modified characters, a recognition method of handwritten characters as normal characters is limited. Therefore, the present application recognizes signature characters based on classification of curves, i.e., the signature  
10 verification program is replaced by the problem of similarity or congruence of curve figures. For this reason, a curve obtained from the signature will be referred to as a signature curve hereinafter.

From such point of view, the conventional  
15 signature verification technique that uses discretized Cartesian coordinates suffers the same problem as in a classification method of curves using x- and y-coordinates, as will be described below, and such problem hinders verification.

20 As the inventions of a method of classifying curve shapes, a series of figure shape learning/recognition methods of Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 5-197812, 6-309465, and 7-37095 are known. The inventions described in these Laid-Open  
25 publications will be described below as the prior art.

Assume that a dot sequence is given by  $\{d[i] \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$ . Note that  $d[i]$  is a two-dimensional

vector quantity of an integer value, and the dot  
sequence is a two-dimensional lattice coordinate  
sequence of  $d[i] = (x[i], y[i])$ . For the sake of  
simplicity, assume that the dot sequence is closed, and  
5 number  $i$  is that of modulo  $N$ . Hence,  $d[i \text{ modulo } N]$ .  
Also, the dot sequence has an order along connectivity  
of a curve, and none of a hair stroke (Fig. 16A), a  
bent curve more than a resolution (Fig. 16B), double  
lines (Fig. 16C), and an intersection (Fig. 16D) are  
10 present as a thin-line-converted curve for the sake of  
simplicity. Note that an actual signature curve is  
numbered time-serially, and traces indicated by blank  
curves can be reproduced within the range of the dot  
resolution, thus posing no problem. However, in this  
15 case, the aforementioned assumptions were set for the  
sake of simplicity.

A "curvature" in Japanese Patent Laid-Open  
Nos. 5-197812, 6-309465, and 7-37095 will be defined.  
As is professed in Japanese Patent Laid-Open  
20 No. 6-309465, a "curvature" that the inventor of this  
application called is not a curvature in the  
mathematical sense. In practice, this definition does  
not give correct information since it conflicts with  
the argument of congruence of figures, as will be  
25 explained later. Hence, in this specification, the  
curvature defined in the above references will be  
referred to as a pseudo curvature.



The two pseudo curvatures defined as described above are not invariant with respect to affine (congruence) transformation even by approximation, and a limit is often not present even at a limit at which zero pixel resolution is set. That is, these pseudo curvatures are not mathematically well-defined. For this reason, any obtained figures are not invariant with respect to affine transformation even by approximation or the like and are indeterminate values.

Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 5-197812, 6-309465, and 7-37095 compensate for such mathematical drawbacks using a neural net.

An algorithm will be explained with reference to Fig. 18. In step SS1, a memory or the like is initialized. For example, a curve figure is converted into thin-line data to remove patterns shown in Figs. 16A to 16D. In step SS2, the first or second pseudo curvatures of the curve are computed to calculate a pseudo curvature distribution. In step SS3, the obtained pseudo curvature distribution is processed using a neural net to classify the curve.

As will be described later, the aforementioned pseudo curvature is mathematically unstable and, hence, a learnable process such as the neural net or the like must be done as in step SS3. This is an important fact. According to the present invention to be described later, since no such mathematical drawbacks are present,

the curve figure can be classified using a classic logical circuit.

As is known, classification of a curve immersed in a two-dimensional plane can be defined by a

5 Frenet-Serret's formula. (For example, refer to L.P. Eisenhart, "A Treatise on the Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces", Ginn and Company 1909.) Let  $\phi$  be the adjacent angle with respect to a curve, and  $s$  be the length of the curve (arc length) determined by a  
10 natural measure on the two-dimensional plane, as shown in Fig. 19. Then, the following formula can be defined:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{ds} & k \\ -k & \frac{d}{ds} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\phi(s)) \\ \sin(\phi(s)) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \dots (1)$$

Note that  $k = d\phi/ds$  is a curvature, and  $1/k$  is a  
15 so-called radius of curvature. This formula is called the Frenet-Serret's formula, and classic differential geometry teaches that the local natures of a curve are perfectly determined by this formula.

Note that the curvature  $k$  in the theory of curves  
20 in classic differential geometry is an extrinsic curvature, and is a kind of connection according to the terminology of modern differential geometry. The curvature  $k$  is defined on one dimension, and does not have any direct relation with an intrinsic curvature  
25 called a curvature tensor which does not assume any

value on two or more dimensions. Note that the terminology of modern differential geometry is described in, e.g., M. Nakahara, "Geometry, Topology and Physics", Institute of Physics 1990. Also, the  
5 intrinsic and extrinsic curvatures are related by "Gauss' surprise theorem" in case of a two-dimensional surface.

Upon adopting notation which is independent of coordinates, a curvature in classic differential  
10 geometry is  $\kappa = kds$ . This is a differential form of order one, or one-form, in the terminology of modern differential geometry.

As is well known, the relationship between one form (distribution function) and function (scalar  
15 function) is determined by transformability with respect to coordinate transformation. That is, upon coordinate transformation of the arc length  $s$  into an infinitely-differentiable function  $g(s)$  that monotonously increases with  $s$ , the (scalar) function is  
20  $f(s) = f(g(s))$ . On the other hand, one-form (or distribution function) is transformed into  $f(s)ds = f(g(s))(ds/dg)dg$ . Note that  $(ds/dg)$  means the Jacobian.

Therefore, the curvature is a distribution function that must consider the Jacobian with respect  
25 to coordinate transformation, and upon coordinate transformation of the arc length  $s$  into the function  $g(s)$  that monotonously increases with  $s$ , a curvature



k(s) must be transformed into  $(k(g(s))(ds/dg)$  to obtain a mathematically significant result.

However, the pseudo curvatures defined in Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 5-197812, 6-309465, and 7-37095 do not consider the Jacobian in coordinate transformation from the arc length into the number of pixels. In practice, transformation from a line segment into two-dimensional image data is arbitrary, and the number of dots that express an identical line segment is not constant with respect to the length of the line segment, as shown in Figs. 20A and 20B. That is, the number of dots can be considered as a function of the arc length, and when a distribution function like a curvature in classic differential geometry is expressed by the number of dots, that expression itself must be considered as coordinate transformation from the arc length. Especially, two-dimensional image data does not normally have any degree of freedom in rotation, and no degrees of freedom in translation less than the pixel size are present. That is, the number of dots along the arc is a function which changes with respect to the arc length  $s$  as coordinates along the arc, and when the curvature as one form (distribution function) is expressed, it is important to give information which indicates a coordinate system of integer values discretized by the Jacobian.

However, the pseudo curvatures described in the prior art do not take such consideration.

Affine transformation will be explained below.

In the field of mathematics, congruence transformation  
5 has been studied in the field of affine geometry, and a  
congruence condition between figures defined on a  
two-dimensional plane purely means that two figures  
perfectly overlap each other after appropriate  
equivalent affine transformation (translation and  
10 rotation). Similarity includes enlargement/reduction  
transformation in this equivalent affine transformation.  
Such transformation is called affine transformation.

Therefore, as can be understood from the above  
description, signature verification is equivalent to  
15 similarity or congruence of curve figures in a pure  
sense. However, even for an identical person,  
signature curves obtained have different various  
conditions such as enlargement/reduction, translation,  
angular deviation, and the like upon every signature.  
20 Of these signature curves, a shape invariant to the  
aforementioned affine transformation is present, and a  
signature fluctuates naturally.

For this reason, it is important that the  
processing algorithm has no conflict with affine  
25 transformation so as to minimize verification process  
errors upon verification.

However, the conventional signature handwriting analysis method and apparatus (Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 10-171926, 10-40388, and 5-324805) are not invariant with respect to such affine

5 transformation, as will be described below, since pattern matching using discretized Cartesian coordinates is done, thus posing various problems.

Furthermore, it is very difficult to match two curve figures upon excluding the degree of freedom in  
10 affine transformation when a figure described as an actually drawn curve is involved. A "line" on the digitizer has a width, and is not mathematically a strict line. That is, the input device 3021 such as the digitizer or the like in Fig. 14 has a resolution  
15 determined by hardware, and when a curve figure is expressed as two-dimensional image data, as shown in Figs. 20A and 20B, the figure strongly depends on its expression method due to quantization errors.

However, this dependence is very small since a  
20 curve figure seems to express a strict figure for the human eye when the curve figure is sufficiently larger than the pixel size of image data. Under such illusion, we normally handle image data.

But if such sense of understanding is directly  
25 applied to mathematical quantities (e.g., the pseudo curvatures, and information of lattice data determined by Cartesian coordinates upon evaluating similarity in

this case), and definition is made without any mathematical strictness, we lose logic and rationality.

In order to define a difference/similarity between given objects A and B in mathematics, topology must be introduced. In the current problems, comparison must be made by introducing a kind of topology. At this time, topology must be weak enough to solve the problem that the actual "line" has a width, and problems of quantization errors, discretization errors, and the like such as curvatures, and the like. If identity (congruence) of figures is to be discriminated finally, an algorithm must be invariant or approximately invariant with respect to affine transformation.

The pseudo curvatures in the prior art and the conventional signature verification method that processes using x- and y-coordinate sequences do not meet such requirements. For example, as can be immediately understood from Figs. 21A and 21B, the pseudo curvatures formed in the prior art are not invariant with respect to affine transformation and, especially, rotation.

As shown in Fig. 21A, when straight lines which form a line figure agree with the pixel lattices, the number of dots and arc length are linked via linear transformation having a magnification-multiple correspondence. However, when straight lines are

oblique, as shown in Fig. 21B, pixels which express these lines form jaggies, and the ratio of the number of pixels required for expression and length is not constant. In practice, in order to express a straight  
5 line of approximately  $\sqrt{2}$  (pixels) having an angle of 45°, as shown in Fig. 21B, three pixels are required, and the number of pixels becomes about twice larger than the length. As shown in Figs. 20A and 20B, a smallest angular difference often abruptly changes the  
10 number of pixels required to express a line segment.

By reflecting this fact, the graph of pseudo curvature distribution functions (either the first or second pseudo curvatures) in Figs. 21A and 21B is as shown in Fig. 22. In Fig. 22, the abscissa plots the  
15 number of pixels, and the ordinate plots the pseudo curvature. The bold curve indicates a case wherein the straight lines agree with the pixel direction, as shown in Fig. 21A, and the thin curve indicates a case herein the straight line is oblique, as shown in Fig. 21B.  
20 The appearance of the pseudo curvature function largely changes with respect to transformation, i.e., rotation.

Conversely, by changing the direction of rotation or the like with respect to a graph that plots the same number of pixels and pseudo curvatures, different  
25 figures are recognized as identical figures.

To correct such contradictions, the prior art (Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 10-171926, 10-40388,

and 5-324805) adopts a correction method using a neural net. However, it is generally difficult to reproduce mathematically rational information from information which is not mathematically well-defined.

5 In Japanese Patent Laid-Open Nos. 5-324805, 10-171926, and 10-40388, correction based on a fuzzy scheme or dynamic programming scheme is done. However, these errors include correction components resulting from information which is not mathematically  
10 well-defined, thus impairing the reliability of signature verification.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention has been made in  
15 consideration of the conventional problems, and has as its object to implement more accurate pattern matching of a handwritten input, which is approximately invariant to affine transformation, and can reduce the influence of discretization errors.

20 According to the present invention, the foregoing object is attained by providing an information processing apparatus comprising: acquisition means for acquiring an input coordinate sequence generated by sampling a handwritten input pattern at predetermined  
25 intervals; line segment conversion means for converting a pattern expressed by the input coordinate sequence into line segments by approximating the pattern by

coupling a plurality of line segments; generation means for generating angle distribution data on the basis of directions of the line segments obtained by said line segment conversion means; and matching means for

5 executing a matching process of a pattern on the basis of the angle distribution data generated by said generation means.

According to another aspect of the present invention, the foregoing object is attained by

10 providing an information processing method comprising: the acquisition step of acquiring an input coordinate sequence generated by sampling a handwritten input pattern at predetermined intervals; the line segment conversion step of converting a pattern expressed by

15 the input coordinate sequence into line segments by approximating the pattern by coupling a plurality of line segments; the generation step of generating angle distribution data on the basis of directions of the line segments obtained in the line segment conversion

20 step; and the matching step of executing a matching process of a pattern on the basis of the angle distribution data generated in the generation step.

Other features and advantages of the present invention will be apparent from the following

25 description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which like reference characters designate

the same or similar parts throughout the figures thereof.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5       The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated in and constitute a part of the specification, illustrate embodiments of the invention and, together with the description, serve to explain the principles of the invention.

10       Fig. 1 shows an example of dot sequences after a handwritten signature to be processed in this embodiment is converted into digital data by a digitizer;

15       Figs. 2A to 2D are explanatory views of dot sequences in processes of this embodiment for a signature;

      Fig. 3A to 3D are views for explaining a process of the first embodiment for input data shown in Fig. 1;

20       Figs. 3E and 3F are views for explaining an adjacent angle distribution shown in Fig. 3A;

      Fig. 4 is a graph showing the correspondence between the arc length along a line segment and the number of point sequences corresponding to the line segment;

25       Fig. 5 is a perspective view showing the outer appearance of a signature verification apparatus according to this embodiment;



Fig. 6 is a block diagram showing the device arrangement of the signature verification apparatus shown in Fig. 5;

5 Figs. 7A and 7B are flow charts showing the signature verification sequence in the signature verification apparatus of the first embodiment;

Fig. 8 is a flow chart for explaining the sequence of a line segment conversion process in the first embodiment;

10 Figs. 9A to 9D are views for explaining the line segment conversion process in the first embodiment;

Figs. 10A and 10B are explanatory views showing the effect of a curve classification method used in this embodiment;

15 Fig. 11 is an explanatory view showing the effect of a curve classification method used in this embodiment;

Figs. 12A and 12B are flow charts for explaining the registration sequence of a standard pattern (standard signature adjacent angle distribution) and a portion to be removed upon matching (a portion with large fluctuation) according to the second embodiment;

Figs. 13A to 13C are views for explaining the statistical process according to the second embodiment;

25 Fig. 14 is a schematic view showing the arrangement of a conventional signature verification apparatus;

Fig. 15 shows an example of a curve immersed in a two-dimensional plane;

Figs. 16A to 16D are explanatory views of a conventional curve classification method;

5 Figs. 17A to 17C are views for explaining the conventional definition of a curvature;

Fig. 18 is a flow chart for explaining the conventional curve classification method;

10 Fig. 19 is a view for explaining an adjacent angle;

Figs. 20A and 20B are views for explaining uncertainty of dots that express identical line segments;

15 Figs. 21A and 21B are views for explaining the conventional problems; and

Fig. 22 is a view for explaining the conventional problems.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

20 Preferred embodiments of the present invention will now be described in detail in accordance with the accompanying drawings.

##### <First Embodiment>

25 An outline of the process of the first embodiment will be explained first. In this embodiment, a signature verification apparatus and method, which verify a signature by extracting a geometric feature of

an input signature pattern, converting the feature into numerical value data, and comparing the numerical value data with a standard numerical value sequence prepared in advance will be described.

5        Fig. 1 shows an example of dot sequences after a handwritten signature to be processed in this embodiment is converted into digital data by a digitizer. As shown in Fig. 1, the digitizer converts a signature written using a thin pen into N dot  
10    sequences  $A = \{(x[i], y[i]) \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$  in a two-dimensional integer lattice.

      Data used are captured at very small time intervals. At average intervals, two neighboring dot sequences are captured as a figure. In this case, some  
15    dot sequences may overlap at a single pixel position when the capture speed is low.

      Furthermore, even a portion which is not written by one stroke is adopted as a dot sequence that forms time-series data. Figs. 2A to 2D are explanatory views  
20    of dot sequences in processes for the signature of this embodiment. That is, signature characters are generally considered as sets of curves which are not connected except for intersections, as shown in Fig. 2A. Signature characters to be defined in this embodiment  
25    adopt a curve which is written by one stroke (Fig. 2B) along an elapse of time even for portions which are not connected. As shown in Fig. 2B, a portion between

strokes is interpolated by a straight line to write characters by one stroke. The adopted curve will be referred to as a signature curve hereinafter.

As transformation which considers the fact that  
5 an original figure and a figure expressed by  
two-dimensional pixels match at a modulo pixel  
resolution, information not more than a pixel  
resolution in a divisional line segment figure shown in  
Fig. 3A is ignored to recover the original figure. A  
10 cutoff parameter that defines the limit resolution upon  
capture is used, and transformation into a divisional  
line segment figure is made, as will be described later  
with reference to Figs. 9A to 9D. Since the original  
figure is defined by dot sequences A, it can be defined  
15 as a figure which is approximately invariant to affine  
transformation. This figure is converted into line  
segment sequence B, as will be described in detail  
later. The line segment sequence B is obtained by  
appropriately decimating data of the dot sequences A.

20 Since the arc length can be well-defined in the  
divisional line segment figure, an angle function  $\phi(s)$   
of an adjacent angle with respect to the arc length  $s$   
and the like can be well-defined. Hence, after the  
total arc length of the obtained line segment sequence  
25 data is calculated, the total arc length is divided by  
a given natural number  $M$  to form a dot sequence  $\{s(i)\}$   
which has an equal width with respect to the arc length.

In this way, the arc length is normalized; data which is invariant to similarity transformation is handled.

Since the adjacent angle  $\phi$  is one defined with respect to the coordinate axis (the horizontal axis of the input coordinate surface in this embodiment), it is not invariant to the degree of freedom in rotation of affine rotation. Hence, this angle is converted into a relative value unique to a figure so as to become invariant. More specifically, as a reference angle of adjacent angle  $\phi[i]$  with respect to each dot  $s[i]$ , the slope of a line segment which connects the start point to the end point of the dot sequence is defined to have zero angle. At this time, writing pressure time-series data of a pen upon signing, or time data from the signature start time at a vertex is converted into an arc length, if necessary.

In the above procedure, a dot sequence is converted into a line segment sequence, and time-series data can be converted into geometric data, i.e., an arc length. When the coordinate dot sequences A are given, as shown in Fig. 1, the next problem is to classify its shape.

Note that the effect of the Jacobian pointed out in the prior art need not be considered in the divisional line segment figure. That is, assume that a divisional line segment figure shown in Fig. 3A is given with respect to the dot sequences shown in Fig. 1,

and corresponding points on the line segments are given  
for dots on the dot sequences. In this case, upon  
tracing the line segment sequence from a given start  
point on the line segment sequence, the correspondence  
5 between the corresponding line segment and the number  
of dot sequences present therein is as shown in Fig. 4.  
As can be seen from Fig. 4, the graph is not defined by  
a straight line. Therefore, if pixel numbers are used  
as arguments of curvatures, the Jacobian must be taken  
10 into consideration.

If the abscissa plots the arc length and the  
ordinate plots the angle of the corresponding portion,  
as shown in Fig. 3B, a feature of the figure can be  
expressed as a step (Heaviside) function. At this time,  
15 the adjacent angle  $\phi$  has a degree of freedom in  
translation in modulo  $2\pi$ . This means a degree of  
freedom in position of zero angle upon measuring the  
adjacent angle, and it corresponds to a degree of  
freedom in rotation in affine transformation. In this  
20 embodiment, as the reference angle of zero angle, the  
direction of a straight line that connects the start  
and end points of the signature curve is adopted.

As shown in Figs. 3E and 3F, the adjacent angles  
of line segments a to j are obtained to have a parallel  
25 line as zero angle, and the adjacent angle distribution  
shown in Fig. 3B is obtained as a step function having

lengths according to the arc lengths of these line segments.

Fig. 3B expresses a continuous correspondence. However, on a computer, a figure is equally segmented along the arc length into sizes approximately equal to or larger than one pixel length, and the angle distribution on segmented one-dimensional lattice points on the arc is calculated to check the correspondence, as shown in the graph of Fig. 3C. The discretized angle distribution is expressed by  $\phi[i]$ . Note that the total number of divisions is a constant value.

The average value of  $\phi[i]$  of about 10 signatures is calculated as a standard angle distribution, which is pre-stored in the storage device of the verification apparatus or is acquired via an IC card or computer network and is stored in a memory in the verification apparatus. The standard angle distribution present in the memory is compared with a standard angle distribution directly calculated from the input data, as described above to identify a given person.

That is, the signature verification apparatus of this embodiment performs pattern matching that adopts a normal pattern matching method or dynamic programming method, using information of the standard angle distribution and the like to compare with a standard

signature curve, thus evaluating equivalence of a signature.

The signature verification apparatus according to the first embodiment will be described in more detail below.

Fig. 5 shows the outer appearance of the signature verification apparatus according to this embodiment. As shown in Fig. 5, the signature verification apparatus comprises a main body 111, digitizer 114, and card 115. Fig. 6 is a block diagram showing the device arrangement of the signature verification apparatus shown in Fig. 5.

Referring to Figs. 5 and 6, reference numeral 111 denotes a main body of the signature verification apparatus; and 114, a digitizer. The digitizer comprises an acute pen 113 and writing pad 112. The writing pad 112 comprises a sensor unit assembled in a two-dimensional matrix, and an A/D converter (not shown), and time-serially converts positions pressurized by the tip of the pen 113 into digital signals and sends them to the main body 111. The pen 113 is added with a function of detecting the writing pressure, and time-serially monitors the writing pressure and sends an analog signal to the writing pad 112. The A/D converter in the writing pad 112 converts the analog signal of the writing pressure into digital



signals in synchronism with those of the pen positions and sends them to the main body 111.

Such device is called the digitizer 114 as a whole, and converts signature characters into  
5 time-series digital signals. That is, a pattern handwritten on the writing pad 112 is sampled at predetermined time intervals, and is acquired as an input coordinate sequence.

The main body 111 comprises a parameter input  
10 unit 117, a monitor 118 for displaying the control processes and input instructions of result parameters, a standard data reader 116 for reading standard data stored in a card or the like, a RAM 121, a ROM 122, a control operation unit 120, and a standard data storage  
15 unit 123. Note that the ROM 122 stores a control program for control to be described later with reference to the flow charts, and the control operation unit 120 executes the control program.

The object of this embodiment is to reconstruct  
20 signature characters from digital signals obtained upon signing on the digitizer, and to classify a curve by detecting the characters as the curve.

As described above, actual characters are formed of separated curves, as shown in Fig. 2A. However,  
25 since these characters are input time-serially, they can be detected as a curve written by one stroke, as

shown in Fig. 2B, thus reducing the classification program of a single curve.

These line segments are time-series data on a two-dimensional lattice, as shown in Fig. 2C, and are  
5 reduced to N dot sequences  $A = (x[i], y[i] \mid i = 1, \dots, N)$  in the two-dimensional integer lattice.  $i$  corresponds to an elapse of time. Also, the data used are captured at very small time intervals, so that the distance  $\|(x[i], y[i] - (x[i-1], y[i-1])\|$  between  
10 neighboring dot sequences becomes one dot or less. In this case, some dot sequences may overlap at a single pixel position when the capture speed is low. The signature characters are generally considered as a group of curves which are not coupled except for  
15 intersections. However, a curve defined in this embodiment adopts that obtained by writing even non-coupled portions by one stroke along an elapse of time in practice. The adopted curve is called the signature curve, as described above.

20 When coordinate dot sequences A are given, as shown in Fig. 2C, the object of this embodiment is to classify its shape.

Upon writing on the digitizer, affine transformations such as skew, enlargement/reduction,  
25 translation, and the like, which are pointed out as the conventional problems, are ordinarily made. The object of this embodiment is to provide a signature

verification method and apparatus which are invariant to such transformations. In practice, pattern matching using x- and y-coordinates suffers various problems and cannot find a match between data obtained in Figs. 2C and 2D, as pointed out in the conventional problems, although one of these figures is only slightly slanted and larger than the other.

A rough flow of this embodiment will be described below with reference to the flow chart in Fig. 7A.

10 Initialization is done in step S0. In this initialization, standard data 115 obtained by reading an IC card or the like using the standard data reader 116 or standard data read out from the standard data storage unit 112 is loaded onto the RAM 121. At this  
15 time, the standard data storage unit 112 may be either an internal hard disk of the apparatus or a storage device such as a hard disk at a remote place, which stores data via a computer network.

In step S1, a person inputs a signature, which is  
20 converted into digital data by the digitizer, and the digital data is transferred to an arithmetic device. That is, a pattern written on the writing pad 112 using the pen 113 is sampled at predetermined time intervals, and is transferred as an input coordinate sequence to  
25 the arithmetic device. In step S2, dot data is converted into divisional line segment data. That is, the dot sequences shown in Fig. 1 are converted into

line segment sequence data shown in Fig. 3A. The converted data are stored on the RAM 121 in Fig. 6.

With this conversion, errors upon converting into two-dimensional image data are reduced, and affine characteristics of the original figure are approximately recovered. Also, line segment conversion can define measures induced from natural measures of the two-dimensional plane on line segments, and the Jacobian problem described in the paragraphs of "background of the invention" can be disengaged.

The method adopted in line segment segmentation in step S2 will be explained below. This embodiment adopts the method described in Japanese Patent Laid-Open No. 1-295376.

A vertex sequence of a line segment sequence is expressed as a partial set  $B = \{(Vx[i], Vy[i]) \mid i = 1, \dots, R\}$  of the coordinate dot sequence A. How to extract the partial set B from the dot sequence A is the line segment conversion problem of the dot sequences. Note that a threshold value  $vtxth0$  is set in advance to be a constant value.

From the above assumption, it may be determined that the two ends of the corresponding curve of the coordinate dot sequence A are found. The line segment conversion process will be explained below with reference to the flow chart in Fig. 8 and examples shown in Figs. 9A to 9D.

An outline of the line segment conversion process will be described below with reference to Figs. 9A to 9D. As shown in Fig. 9A, two ends of a pattern are connected by a line segment. This line segment is  
5 called a line segment of layer 1 (line segment 1023 in Fig. 9A). From this line segment, distances  $r[i]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) to coordinate points (of the entire pattern at this time) in a region sandwiched between the two ends of the line segment are obtained. If a maximum  
10 one of the obtained distances  $r[i]$  is smaller than  $vtxth0$ , line segment conversion is not performed any more, and the line segment of layer 1 that connects the two ends is determined as a line segment sequence to be obtained.

15 Fig. 9A shows a case wherein the maximum distance is larger than  $vtxth0$ , and a point 1021 has a maximum distance. In this case, the point 1021 is set as one of a new vertex sequence, as shown in Fig. 9B. In this way, a new layer is set, and the obtained dot sequence  
20 is defined as a vertex sequence of layer 2.

Such operation repeats itself on the respective line segments as follows. Assume that the current layer is  $K$  ( $> 1$ ). One line segment of the line segment sequence of layer  $K$  is selected as a line segment of  
25 interest. Distances  $r[i]$  ( $i = j1, \dots, j2$ ) between the partial sequence of the coordinate dot sequence  $A$  in a region sandwiched between the two ends of that line

segment, and the line segment of interest are obtained. If the maximum one of the distances (maximum distance  $d$ ) is smaller than  $vtxth0$ , the line segment of interest is defined as a part of the line segment sequence to be  
5 obtained. At this time, it is determined that the corresponding line segment converges for layer  $K$ , and the corresponding portion of the line segment sequence remains unchanged even when the layer is incremented from  $K$  to  $K+1$ .

10 If the maximum distance  $d$  is larger than  $vtxth0$ , the point having the maximum value is set as one of a vertex sequence of next layer  $K+1$ . This operation is made for all line segments, which have not converged yet, on that layer, and the next layer ( $K+1$ ) is  
15 selected after operations for all the line segments on layer  $K$ . For example, after one operation from Fig. 9A, the line segment in Fig. 9A is converted into two white line segments, as shown in Fig. 9B. When the above operation is performed for each of the two white line  
20 segments in Fig. 9B, line segments shown in Fig. 9C are obtained. When the line segments in Fig. 9C further undergo line segment conversion, the line segments other than a line segment 1022 converge in the above sense (i.e., the distances between the dot sequence  
25 belonging to that line segment, and the line segment do not exceed  $vtxth0$ ), and only the line segment 1022 is

further converted into line segments, as shown in Fig. 9D.

After the layer is sufficiently incremented by repeating the above operation, since the number of coordinate points is finite, all line segments converge, i.e., a line segment sequence can be formed so that all dot sequences have distances smaller than  $vtxth0$  from the corresponding line segments.

With the aforementioned method, a line segment sequence shown in Fig. 9D is obtained from Fig. 9A. Fig. 8 is a flow chart of the aforementioned process. The line segment conversion process for a general case will be explained below with reference to Fig. 8.

Initialization is done simultaneously with the beginning of step S101. That is, layer K is reset to "1", and the two ends of a curve are set as those of a line segment of layer 1. It is checked in step S102 if the i-th line segment of interest has exceeded the last line segment of a line segment sequence of layer K.

If NO in step S102, the maximum value of distances between corresponding coordinate points in the line segment and that line segment is calculated. If it is determined in step S104 that the maximum distance  $d$  of the line segment is larger than  $vtxth0$ , the flow advances to step S105 to divide the i-th line segment into two line segments at the point having the maximum distance  $d$ . If the maximum distance  $d$  of the

line segment is smaller than  $vtxth0$  in step S104, the process of the next line segment starts. That is, it is determined that the line segment of interest converges.

5           In step S106, the next line segment of the line segment sequence in layer K is selected. It is checked in step S102 again if the  $i$ -th line segment of interest has exceeded the last line segment of the line segment sequence of layer K. The operations in steps S103 to  
10       S106 are repeated up to the last line segment of the line segment sequence of layer K.

          If it is determined in step S108 that the maximum distance  $d$  in all line segments is smaller than  $vtxth0$ , the selected vertex sequences  $(x(i_a), y(i_a))$  of the  
15       line segment sequence are rearranged in ascending order of  $i_a$  to obtain a vertex sequence of line segments in step S109. After that, the flow advances to step S110 to end the process. On the other hand, if it is  
determined in step S108 that all line segments have not  
20       converged yet, the next layer is selected in step S107, and the flow returns to step S102. By repeating the above process, all line segments finally converge, and the processing ends.

          In the above process,  $vtxth0$  can be used as a  
25       parameter for determining a resolution, thus rationally changing the resolution. The parameter can be



input/changed using the parameter input unit 117 in Fig. 11.

The line segment sequence obtained in this way approximates the original figure, as described above, and the degree of freedom in affine transformation which is lost upon conversion into two-dimensional image data can be approximately obtained again.

In practice, both straight lines which agree with the pixel lattices shown in Fig. 10A and those which are oblique, as shown in Fig. 10B, have nearly the same adjacent angle distributions. That is, as can be seen from Fig. 11, affine characteristics are recovered approximately. In Fig. 11, the abscissa plots the arc length, and the ordinate plots the adjacent angle. The bold curve indicates a case wherein the straight lines agree with the pixel direction, as shown in Fig. 10A, and the thin curve indicates a case wherein the straight lines are oblique, as shown in Fig. 10B. The relationship between the adjacent angle and arc length gives nearly the same distributions before and after transformation, i.e., rotation, unlike the pseudo curvature function shown in Fig. 22.

Referring back to Fig. 7A, the line segments are equally divided along the arc length from their ends in step S3. The number of divisions is a predetermined value N to equally divide the total arc length into N.

In step S4, the adjacent angle distribution is calculated.

Fig. 7B is a flow chart showing the calculation of the adjacent angle distribution in step S4.

5        A straight line defined by the start and end points of the signature curve (the line segment 1023 in Fig. 9A, i.e., the line segment of first layer) is selected to define a reference angle (step S401). The direction of this line is set as zero angle to define  
10    an adjacent angle at each division point (step S402). That is, an adjacent angle  $\phi$  ( $\phi[i]$ ,  $i = 1$  to  $N$ ) at each division position  $i$  is obtained. Note that the adjacent angle  $\phi$  can be defined even in an undifferentiable curve since it is obtained by  
15    integrating curvatures  $d\phi/ds$  for the arc length. Or the adjacent angle can be defined at least in the sense of the theory of distribution. The obtained adjacent angles  $\phi[i]$  are as shown in Fig. 3C. If the operation mode is the verification mode, the flow advances from  
20    step S403 to step S5 in Fig. 7A. In the signature verification apparatus of this embodiment, one of the verification mode for verifying an input pattern, and the registration mode for registering a standard pattern for the verification process can be set. In  
25    case of the registration mode, the flow advances from step S403 to step S404. This process will be described later.

In step S5, the adjacent angle distribution is compared with an angle distribution  $\{\phi_{ref}[i]\}$  as a standard pattern indicated by the bold curve in Fig. 3D. As the comparison method, S is calculated by:

$$5 \quad S = \sum_i^N \min(|\phi[i] - \phi_{ref}[i]|, |2\pi - \phi[i] - \phi_{ref}[i]|, |2\pi + \phi[i] - \phi_{ref}[i]|) \quad \dots (2)$$

and the value S is set as the matching level. Then, verification is made by checking if this matching level exceeds a predetermined threshold value. Some standard patterns may be prepared, if necessary, and one of the  
10 standard patterns, which has the highest matching level with the input pattern, may be determined to classify a shape.

After that, an end message and the matching result are displayed on the monitor 118 shown in  
15 Figs. 5 and 6. If the matching result is equal to or higher than the threshold value, it is determined that the signature matches the standard signature, thus identifying a given person.

When the registration mode for registering the  
20 standard pattern is set, the flow advances from step S403 to step S404 in Fig. 7B. As a method of generating a standard signature adjacent angle distribution, i.e., a standard pattern,

(1) the aforementioned adjacent angle  
25 distribution of the signature curve input in advance is calculated, and is registered; or

(2) the aforementioned adjacent angle distributions of a predetermined number of signature curves are calculated, and a distribution defined by mean adjacent angles at each point of the obtained  
5 distributions is registered.

Fig. 7B shows the registration method (2). That is, a mean value (a total of  $\phi[i]$  to  $\phi_K[i]$  is divided by K) of angles of the line segments at each point i is calculated to obtain a distribution  $\phi_{ref}[i]$  in step S404,  
10 and this distribution is saved as a standard pattern in the memory in step S405. In case of the registration method (1), the adjacent angle distribution  $\phi[i]$  obtained in step S402 may be directly saved in the memory as a standard pattern  $\phi_{ref}[i]$  while skipping step  
15 S404. In this embodiment, the aforementioned functions are implemented using the apparatus shown in Fig. 5, thus generating a standard pattern.

As described above, according to this embodiment, since pattern matching of the signature curve is done  
20 on the basis of the adjacent angle distribution, which is obtained by converting the input signature curve into line segments, and calculating adjacent angles at respective points obtained by equally dividing the line segments by a predetermined constant, signature  
25 classification which is approximately invariant to affine transformation and suffers less influences of discretization errors can be implemented.

The shape of the input signature can be determined without adopting any indeterminate processing method such as a neural network that uses process history, and a verification method in which  
5 only a pure fluctuation of the signature itself becomes a fluctuation can be provided.

<Second Embodiment>

In the first embodiment, the entire standard signature adjacent angle distribution used as the  
10 standard pattern undergoes pattern matching. However, signatures written by a person include portions with large change, i.e., portions with large fluctuation, and if pattern matching is done using the signature including such large fluctuations, matching precision  
15 may be lowered. In the second embodiment, upon pattern matching between the adjacent angle distributions, a matching process is done after such portions with large fluctuation are removed.

Detection of portions with large fluctuation in  
20 the second embodiment will be described below.

Fig. 12A is a flow chart for explaining the registration sequence of a standard pattern (standard signature adjacent angle distribution) and portions (portions with large fluctuation) to be removed upon  
25 matching. Note that the processes in steps S0 to S4 are the same as those in the first embodiment (Fig. 7A), and a description thereof will be omitted.

As described above, with the processes in steps S0 to S4, input data of the written signature input to generate a standard pattern is converted into line segments, which are equally divided to obtain an adjacent angle distribution  $\phi[i]$ .

The aforementioned processes are repeated L times (about 10 times in this example) to acquire L distributions  $\phi[i]$ , which are held as the standard adjacent angle distribution in the storage device in the verification apparatus, or an IC card or an external storage device connected via the computer network. The flow then advances from step S15 to S16, and the held L distributions  $\phi[i]$  are statistically processed to acquire a standard pattern and non-matching objective regions, thus registering the results.

Fig. 12B is a flow chart for explaining the statistical process in step S16. Figs. 13A to 13C are views for explaining the statistical process according to the second embodiment. Fig. 13A shows two angle distributions  $\phi_1[i]$  (bold curve) and  $\phi_2[i]$  (thin curve) obtained from the two signature curves. In step S161, the magnitude of fluctuation between the two signature curves is calculated using these distributions by computing:

$$\Delta\phi[i] = \min(|\phi_1[i] - \phi_2[i]|, |2\pi - \phi_1[i] + \phi_2[i]|, |2\pi + \phi_1[i] - \phi_2[i]|) \quad \dots (3)$$

Fig. 13B shows the magnitude of  $\Delta\phi[i]$ , i.e.,  $|\Delta\phi[i]|$  obtained by the above equation. As shown in Fig. 13B, portions where  $|\Delta\phi[i]|$  fluctuate largely are present. Hence, a threshold value  $\Delta\phi_0$  is prepared, and portions where  $|\Delta\phi[i]|$  assumes values equal to or larger than that threshold value are defined as unstable regions (step S162). These unstable regions are set as regions whose values are not used in a matching process upon signature verification, i.e., non-matching objective regions, since large fluctuations are observed in every signature. When the result shown in Fig. 13B is obtained, matching objective regions are portions indicated by the bold lines in Fig. 13C, and non-matching objective regions are portions which are not indicated by the bold lines.

The aforementioned statistical process is done for a plurality of (L, about 10 in this embodiment) signature curves, and average portions with large fluctuations based are determined to be unstable regions, i.e., those which do not undergo pattern matching. In this way, by setting the non-matching objective regions, portions where the distribution fluctuates largely every input can be prevented from being used in pattern matching, and the reliability of a matching process upon verification can be improved. Note that the adjacent angle distribution values other

than the unstable regions use the average values (step S163).

In step S164, information indicating the matching objective regions (or information indicating the non-matching objective regions) and the adjacent angle distribution values (average values) of the matching objective regions are saved as a standard pattern in the memory. Since the information indicating the matching objective regions is registered, the average adjacent angle distribution values of either only the matching objective regions or the entire distribution may be registered.

Upon evaluation of fluctuation and/or calculation of the average value of each matching objective regions, evaluation may be made by excluding some of data indicating large fluctuation at that portion from a statistical process. For example, upon calculation of fluctuation and average value, data indicating the largest fluctuation at that portion may be excluded from the statistical process, and the statistical process may be executed for the remaining L-1 data.

The standard pattern and matching objective regions obtained in this way are held as standard data. The verification process uses the standard data. The verification process has been explained in the first embodiment (Fig. 7A). However, upon calculating the matching level using equation (2) in the pattern



matching process in step S5,  $\phi_{ref}$  is the average value of the adjacent angle, and i indicates only the matching objective region.

<Another Embodiment>

5           Note that the present invention may be applied to either a system constituted by a plurality of devices (e.g., a host computer, an interface device, a reader, a printer, and the like), or an apparatus consisting of a single equipment (e.g., a copying machine, a  
10 facsimile apparatus, or the like).

          The objects of the present invention are also achieved by supplying a storage medium (or recording medium), which records a program code of a software program that can implement the functions of the  
15 above-mentioned embodiments to the system or apparatus, and reading out and executing the program code stored in the storage medium by a computer (or a CPU or MPU) of the system or apparatus. In this case, the program code itself read out from the storage medium implements  
20 the functions of the above-mentioned embodiments, and the storage medium which stores the program code constitutes the present invention. The functions of the above-mentioned embodiments may be implemented not only by executing the readout program code by the  
25 computer but also by some or all of actual processing operations executed by an operating system (OS) running

on the computer on the basis of an instruction of the program code.

Furthermore, the functions of the above-mentioned embodiments may be implemented by some or all of actual  
5 processing operations executed by a CPU or the like arranged in a function extension card or a function extension unit, which is inserted in or connected to the computer, after the program code read out from the storage medium is written in a memory of the extension  
10 card or unit.

As described above, according to the present invention, more accurate pattern matching of a handwritten input, which is approximately invariant to affine transformation and can reduce the influence of  
15 discretization errors can be improved.

As many apparently widely different embodiments of the present invention can be made without departing from the spirit and scope thereof, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to the  
20 specific embodiments thereof except as defined in the claims.